

SCOTT J. SAGARIA (BAR # 217981)
ELLIOT W. GALE (BAR #263326)
JOE B. ANGELO (BAR #268542)
SCOTT M. JOHNSON (BAR #287182)
SAGARIA LAW, P.C.
2033 Gateway Place, 5th Floor
San Jose, CA 95110
408-279-2288 ph
408-279-2299 fax

Attorneys for Plaintiff

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION

CASE NO.

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:

KRISTIE BRADBURY,

Plaintiff,

v.

Experian Information Solutions, Inc.;
TransUnion, LLC; Capital One, National
Association; Toyota Motor Credit
Corporation; IQ Data International, Inc.;
Stuart Allan & Associates, Inc. and DOES
1 through 100 inclusive,

Defendants.

1. Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act;
2. Violation of California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act;
3. Violation of California Unfair Business Practices Act
4. Demand Exceeds \$10,000.00

COMES NOW Plaintiff KRISTIE BRADBURY, an individual, based on information and belief, to allege as follows:

INTRODUCTION

1. This case arises under the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b), California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act, California Civil Code §1785.25(a), and California Business and Professions Code § 17200. Plaintiff seeks redress for the

1 unlawful and deceptive practices committed by the Defendants in connection with their
2 inaccurate reporting of Plaintiff's debt included in Plaintiff's Chapter 7 bankruptcy.

3
4 **JURISDICTION & VENUE**

- 5 2. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and
6 every paragraph above, fully set forth herein.
- 7 3. This Court has jurisdiction under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331, 1337, and 1367, and 15 U.S.C. §
8 1681
- 9 4. This venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §1391(b).

10 **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 11 5. Plaintiff filed for Chapter 7 bankruptcy protection on October 31st, 2013 in order to
12 reorganize and repair Plaintiff's credit. Plaintiff's Chapter 7 bankruptcy was
13 discharged on February 10th, 2014.
- 14 6. On May 12th, 2015 Plaintiff ordered a three bureau report from Equifax, Inc. to ensure
15 proper reporting and noticed a misleading and or inaccurate account status, and or
16 listing of the accounts as open, in collections, and or charged off rather than discharged
17 in Bankruptcy.
- 18 7. In response Plaintiff disputed the inaccurate tradelines via certified mail with Experian
19 Information Solutions, Inc.; Equifax, Inc.; and TransUnion, LLC.
- 20 8. Plaintiff is informed and believes that each credit reporting agency sent each
21 Defendant notification that plaintiff was disputing the accuracy of what it was reporting
22 to them.
- 23 9. Defendants Capital One, National Association; Toyota Motor Credit Corporation; IQ
24 Data International, Inc. and Stuart Allan & Associates, Inc. failed to conduct a
25 reasonable investigation and reported falsely to Experian Information Solutions, Inc.
26 and TransUnion LLC a *misleading* and or *inaccurate* account status, and or listed the
27 accounts as open, in collections, and or charged off rather than discharged in
28 Bankruptcy.

10. Experian Information Solutions, Inc. failed to perform its own reasonable investigation and failed to correct the inaccuracies and failed to note that Plaintiff disputed the information. After the statutory time period passed for Experian Information Solutions, Inc. to update the report Plaintiff pulled a second credit report and noticed that no updates had been made to the tradeline in dispute.
11. TransUnion, LLC failed to perform its own reasonable investigation and failed to correct the inaccuracies and failed to note that Plaintiff disputed the information. After the statutory time period passed for TransUnion, LLC to update the report Plaintiff pulled a second credit report and noticed that no updates had been made to the tradeline in dispute.
12. On August 10th, 2015 Plaintiff ordered a second three bureau report from Equifax, Inc. to ensure proper reporting.
13. Plaintiff's account was in dispute but the furnisher; Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC failed to correct the misleading and or inaccurate statements on the account within the statutory time frame or at all.
14. The credit bureaus have an obligation to delete the tradeline when a response is not received by the furnisher within the statutory time frame.
15. The actions of the Defendants as alleged herein are acts in violation of the Fair Credit Reporting Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b).
16. The actions of the Defendants as alleged herein are acts in violation of the consumer credit reporting agencies act California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).
17. The actions of the Defendant as alleged herein are acts in violation of the California Business and Professions Code § 17200.

FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of Fair Credit Reporting Act

15 U.S.C. § 1681s-2(b))

(Against Defendants and Does 1-100)

Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC- Failure to Reinvestigate Disputed Information.

18. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph above as though fully set forth herein.

1 19. After plaintiff disputed the accounts mentioned above, defendants Experian Information
2 Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC were required to conduct a reasonable investigation
3 and to delete any information that was not accurate. In doing so, defendants were
4 required to send all relevant information to the furnishers which they did not do.
5 Defendants failed to correct the misleading and or inaccurate statements on the account
6 within the statutory time frame or at all.

7 **Capital One, National Association; Toyota Motor Credit Corporation; IQ Data**
8 **International, Inc. and Stuart Allan & Associates, Inc. – Reporting Inaccurate**
9 **Information to Defendants Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC**
10 **and Failure to Reinvestigate.**

11 20. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph
12 above as though fully set forth herein.

13 21. 15 USC 1681s-2 prohibits furnishers from providing any information relating to a
14 consumer to any consumer reporting agency if the person knows or has reasonable
15 cause to believe that the information is inaccurate or misleading and requires a
16 furnisher to update and or correct inaccurate information after being notified by a
17 consumer reporting agency of a dispute by a consumer.

18 22. Defendants Capital One, National Association; Toyota Motor Credit Corporation; IQ
19 Data International, Inc. and Stuart Allan & Associates, Inc. violated section 1681s-2 by
20 failing to conduct a reasonable investigation and re-reporting a misleading and or
21 inaccurate account status, and or listed the accounts as open, in collections, and or
22 charged off rather than discharged in Bankruptcy. Defendants Experian Information
23 Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC provided notice to the defendants that Plaintiff
24 was disputing the inaccurate or misleading information but each of the furnishers failed
25 to conduct a reasonable investigation of the information as required by the FCRA.
26
27
28

SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION

(Violation of California Consumer Credit Reporting Agencies Act
California Civil Code § 1785.25(a))
(Against Defendants and Does 1-100)

Capital One, National Association; Toyota Motor Credit Corporation; IQ Data International, Inc. and Stuart Allan & Associates, Inc. – Reporting Inaccurate Information to Defendants Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC.

23. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein the allegation in each and every paragraph above as though fully set forth herein.

24. Defendants Capital One, National Association; Toyota Motor Credit Corporation; IQ Data International, Inc. and Stuart Allan & Associates, Inc. intentionally and knowingly reported misleading and or inaccurate account status, and or listed the accounts as open, in collections, and or charged off rather than discharged in Bankruptcy to Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC. Plaintiff alleges that Creditors re-reported misleading and or inaccurate account status, and or listed the accounts as open, in collections, and or charged off rather than discharged in Bankruptcy to Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).

25. Plaintiff also alleges that Creditors had reason to know that the information reported on Plaintiff's accounts were misleading and or inaccurate.

26. Plaintiff alleges that the bankruptcy notices, disputes letters from all three credit reporting agencies, the consumer data industry resource guide, and results of its investigation should have provided notice to Defendants of its misleading and or inaccurate reporting.

1 27. Creditors failed to notify Experian Information Solutions, Inc. and TransUnion, LLC
2 that the information Defendants re-reported was inaccurate before the end of 30
3 business days, in violation of California Civil Code § 1785.25(a).

4
5 28. Creditor's communications of false information, and repeated failures to investigate,
6 and correct their inaccurate information and erroneous reporting were done knowingly,
7 intentionally, and in reckless disregard for their duties and Plaintiff's rights.

8 29. As a direct and proximate result of Creditor's willful and untrue communications,
9 Plaintiff has suffered actual damages including but not limited to inability to properly
10 reorganize under Chapter 7, reviewing credit reports from all three consumer reporting
11 agencies, time reviewing reports with counsel, sending demand letters, diminished
12 credit score, and such further expenses in an amount to be determined at trial.

13 30. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

14 **THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION**

(Unfair Business Practices Act

California Business and Professions Code § 17200)

(Against Defendant Creditors and Does 1-100)

15
16 58. Plaintiff re-alleges and incorporates herein by this reference the allegations in each and
17 every paragraph above, as though fully set forth herein.

18 59. Plaintiff brings this action in individual capacity and on behalf of the general public.

19 60. Creditors at all times relevant to this Complaint were engaged in the business of
20 collections and providing services on credit to qualified applicants.

21 61. Commencing on or about October 31st, 2013 and continuing to the present, Creditors
22 committed the acts of unlawful practices as defined by Business and Professions Code
23 § 17200 and described in the above stated Causes of Action.

24 62. These unlawful business practices of the Creditors are likely to continue and therefore
25 will continue to injure Plaintiff and mislead the public by inaccurate record keeping,
26 failure to correct inaccuracies and erroneous dissemination of inaccurate information,
27 and present a continuing threat to the public.

63. Creditor's acts and practices described above were unlawful under the California Civil Code § 1785.25(a) and therefore unlawful business practices within the meaning of Business and Professions Code § 17200.

65. Wherefore, Plaintiff prays for judgment as hereinafter set forth.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays for judgment as follows:

- a. For preliminary and permanent injunctive relief to stop Defendants from engaging in the conduct described above;
- b. Award statutory and actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n and California Civil Code § 1785.31;
- c. Award punitive damages in order to deter further unlawful conduct pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n; and California Civil Code § 1785.31
- d. Award \$2,500 in civil penalties pursuant to California Business & Professions Code § 17206;
- e. Award attorney's fees and costs of suit incurred herein pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1681n & o; California Civil Code § 1785.31;
- f. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are unlawful and in willful violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681n, et seq.; and California Business and Professions Code § 17200, et seq.;

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

//

1 g. For determination by the Court that Creditor's policies and practices are
2 unlawful and in negligent violation of 15 U.S.C. § 1681o;

3 **SAGARIA LAW, P.C.**

4 Dated: October 5, 2015

By: /s/ Elliot Gale
5 Scott Sagaria, Esq.
6 Elliot Gale, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff

7 **DEMAND FOR JURY TRIAL**

8 Plaintiff hereby demands trial of this matter by jury.
9
10
11

12 **SAGARIA LAW, P.C.**

13 Dated: October 5, 2015

/s/ Elliot Gale
14 Scott Sagaria, Esq.
15 Elliot Gale, Esq.
Attorneys for Plaintiff
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28